CHAPTER 19

HYDROLOGIC RECLAMATION PLAN



CHAPTER 19

INDEX

	Page
Introduction	1
Practices Employed to Minimize the Impact of Mining on the	
Hydrologic System .	1
Acid and Toxic Materials	1
Drainage Control and Water Quality Standards	2
Restoration of Approximate Premining Ground	
Water Recharge Capacity	4
Water Rights and Alternative Water Supplies	4
Monitoring Plan	5
Introduction	5
Ground Water Monitoring Plan	6
Surface Water Monitoring Plan	8
Literature Cited	9

LIST OF TABLES

			Page
Table	1	Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to	
		Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18,	
		Probable Hydrologic Consequences	10



CHAPTER 19

HYDROLOGIC RECLAMATION PLAN

Introduction

The hydrologic reclamation plan is presented in two parts. The first part focuses on specific practices which are conducted to minimize the impact of mining on the hydrologic balance within and adjacent to the leasehold. The second part addresses those impacts that may occur regardless of these practices. The emphasis of the second part is on the monitoring of the extent and magnitude of mining impacts. Where possible, reference has been made to those chapters which contain details regarding certain practices.

Acid and Toxic Materials. Overburden and parting materials are placed in or adjacent to

Practices Employed to Minimize the Impact of Mining on the Hydrologic System

mining pits. Therefore, overburden and innerburden core chemical analyses have been conducted and the results reviewed by a biologist, geologist, soil scientist and hydrologist to assess the acid potential of the material and to determine the concentrations of salts and trace metals (Chapter 8). Further, hydrologists have made a determination as to whether shallow aquifers (Wepo or alluvial) will be intercepted by the pits to be mined (Chapter 18). Where aquifers will be intercepted, the hydrologists have made an assessment as to: (1) the significance of the saturated regions as aquifers; (2) the value of the ground water to the quality of the human environment; and (3) the value of the ground water to support the postmining land use of the mined area. These analyses indicate the portions of the alluvial and Wepo aquifers within the leasehold which may be Forentially affected by mining, exhibit low yields to wells and show a water quality which is predominantly unsuitable for use as domestic, irrigation or livestock water. As such, the postalons of the aquifers within the PWCC leasehold have no importance in regards to domestic water consumption and irrigation use. In terms of supporting the postmining land use of the area as livestock drinking water, the portions of these aquifers monitored the PWCC leasehold yield water which is marginally suitable to unsuitable. The above statements are based upon comparisons of the water against accepted domestic, irrigation and livestock water quality criteria. Only 20 percent of the 44 sampleable alluvial monitoring wells and 27 percent of the 33 sampleable Wepo monitoring wells yield water which meets livestock drinking water quality criteria. None of the monitoring wells in either aquifer yield water which meets domestic drinking water criteria. Only 3 of the

33 Wepo monitoring wells yield water quality suitable for use as irrigation water and these three wells exhibit such low yields they cannot be considered for irrigation use.

Surface water protection is achieved through drainage control and reclamation practices. Where spoil encroaches upon significant drainages, channel diversions have been designed and will or have been constructed to divert surface water runoff and minimize the formation of acidic or toxic drainage or increased suspended solids (Chapter 6). Further, runoff from mined areas is and will be contained by sediment ponds (Chapter 6).

Contemporaneous restabilization (Chapter 20) and reconstruction of a nontoxic plant growth medium (Chapter 22) will also protect surface water quality from potential detrimental effects of surface water drainage.

Drainage Control and Water Quality Standards. All runoff from lands disturbed by mining will be routed through sediment ponds designed to contain the runoff from 10-year, 24-hour storm events plus sediment unless alternative water control structures are approved by the regulatory authority. NPDES Permit No. AZ0022179 has been issued for the Black Mesa and Kayenta Mines by the Environmental Protection Agency. This permit contains effluent sampling and reporting requirements (Chapter 16) designed to protect surface water

Remarkanion practices also serve to protect the hydrologic balance and achieve water filling and practices. The Surface Stability and Drainage System Development Plan in the Backfilling and Grading section of Chapter 21 addresses the reclamation procedures areas. The Revegetation Plan in Chapter 23 describes procedures used to minimize erosion through mulching and contemporaneous revegetation. Additionally, the Minesoil Reconstruction Plan in Chapter 22 describes ripping and contour discing procedures employed to stabilize the ground surface, promote revegetation and minimize erosion. These surface treatments in addition to the spoil sampling program to ensure that acid and toxic materials are sufficiently buried, will minimize the chemical and sediment loads contributed to streamflows from reclaimed areas.

A plan for evaluating the success of reclamation practices with regard to controlling

drainage and chemical and sediment loads from reclaimed areas has been developed and implemented. The plan employed a small watershed study (Attachment 4, Chapter 16) consisting of runoff plots, runoff volume, sediment and water quality samplers and flumes; monitoring water quality and persistence in 15 permanent internal impoundments (PIIs) in the N1,N2,J1/N6, J3 and J27 mining areas (Chapter 15, Permanent Impoundment Monitoring Section); and the calibration and use of a rainfall/runoff/sediment yield model (EASI) which was used to compare premining values against postmining values (Application for Release of Reclamation Liability N1/N2 and J27 Interim Program Indian Lands, Black Mesa and Kayenta Mines, March 1994).

More emphasis was given to runoff plot data than the small watershed flume data when determining EASI model calibration coefficients because total runoff and sediment data for each storm event were collected and measured directly. Overall, the EASI model reasonably reproduced comparable values to the runoff and sediment yield values measured at the small watershed plots and flumes for a range of highly variable rainfall events.

The permanent internal impoundment monitoring referenced above has been conducted at all or some of the 15 pond sites from 1981 to the present. To date, 160 water quality samples from the PIIs have been analyzed. Excepting some early (pre-1985) fluoride, lead, TDS and sulfate values at 3 of the PIIs (112,113 and 116), only PII N2-RA has exceeded livestock water quality criteria and only for TDS and SO4. All other PII water quality data is comparable to or more suitable than baseflow and stream runoff water quality measured in the principal channels on the leasehold. During this same time period approximately 500 monthly water level measurements at 14 of the PIIs and 2-3 years of continuous water level.

measurements at 5 of the PIIs have been collected. The water level data show that reclaimed watershed runoff is sufficient enough to allow an average PII water persistence of greater than 80 percent.

کت

The following conclusions were reached from the EASI model comparisons of pre- and postmine watersheds. Drainage densities for postmine conditions are about one-half of the conclusions densities. Pre- and postmine runoff was found to be quite similar. Sediment yield from reclaimed hillshopes is generally two times higher than from premine hillshopes having comparable hillshope length and gradient. However, total sediment yields predicted from reclaimed watersheds is lower than premine watershed predictions. This is because channels, not the hillshopes, are the primary sources of sediment in both pre- and postmine conditions; channels tend to be flatter in the postmine landscape; and

the greater number of depressions in the postmine landscape capture a significant amount of the sediment which could potentially be transported out of the reclaimed watersheds. The above studies and modeling indicate the reclamation practices are performing well in regards to controlling the runoff, chemical and sediment loads leaving the reclaimed watersheds.

Restoration of Approximate Premining Ground Water Recharge Capacity. The backfilling and grading of mined areas is accomplished by draglines, dozers and scrapers. This technique results in some compaction, but is estimated by VanVoast and Hedges (1975) to increase permeability when compared to the original stratified state of the overburden material. Permeability increases are primarily attributed to increased void volumes and segregation of particle sizes. The topsoiled surface will be contour disced which will increase the rainfall and overland flow infiltration. Infiltration rates, however, are likely not critical to the recharge of the Wepo aquifer. Distances from the land surface to the saturated portions of the Wepo aquifer and the limited annual precipitation precludes significant rainfall and snowmelt recharge other than in burn and clinker or highly fractured areas. These areas are found adjacent to, rather than in the coal fields following mining.

The time period necessary for the spoil material to become resaturated and for final ground water flow patterns to be established in areas where pits have intersected portions of the Wepo aquifer depend upon the resultant porosity and permeability of the replaced spoil material. The resaturation may take from a few years to 100 years to occur, but the magnitude of this impact will be small (Chapter 10). The maximum drawdowns will occur in the pits ithemselves and are estimated to be approximately 60 feet and 45 feet for the J-19/20 arth J-16 pits, respectively. Following the resaturation period, ground water levels will be over to near premining levels.

Water Rights and Alternative Water Supplies. The State of Arizona is proceeding with the adjudication of water rights in the Little Colorado River Basin, which includes Black Mesa. This adjudication is still in the process of being finalized. Once the adjudication is final, it is believed Psabody's water use will be a prescribed use based on the allotments to each Tribe. Peabody's use of water on Black Mesa for the mining operations is authorized in the three mining lease agreements (Lease Nos. 14-20-0603-8580, 14-20-0603-9910 and 14-20-0450-5743) with the Tribes. The mining lease agreements state that Peabody may use that amount of water necessary for the mining operation.

At this time, the only documented local usage of the Wepo or alluvial aquifers is in the immediate vicinity of the leasehold at three wells: 4T-405; 4K-389; and 4K-380 (Chapter 17, Pre-existing Wells and Springs). Though PWCC's Wepo and alluvial monitoring well network suggests there is a small likelihood of a Wepo or alluvial well being suitable for use as livestock drinking water, these three wells are being used for livestock water because they are also partly screened in the underlying Toreva aquifer. The completion information for well 4K-380 states it is partially completed in the Toreva and the completion depths for wells 4K-389 and 4T-405 suggest they are also partially open to the Toreva aquifer. All three wells are located off lease (two of them are at least 2 miles south of the leasehold). Because the Toreva aquifer is of better quality than the Wepo aquifer, this would account for how three wells adjacent to the leasehold could be of suitable quality for livestock use when so few of the monitoring wells on the leasehold meet livestock drinking water criteria.

Theoretical pit pumpage drawdowns in the Wepo aquifer could potentially reduce the available height of water in well 4K~389 by 25 percent. Potential pit pumpage drawdowns in wells 4T-405 and 4K-380 are within the range of natural shallower aquifer water level fluctuations. The windmills located on the PWCC leasehold are completed entirely in lower aquifers and won't be affected by pit pumpage drawdowns in the shallower Wepo aquifer.

Regardless of the potential for mining impacts to any well, PWCC has made available to all local residents in the area of the leasehold water of domestic drinking water quality at standpipes located near the N6 and N14 mining areas. The water supplied is from the Navajo aguifer and is available on a 24-hour basis.

o Plan

In addition to the activities designed to minimize disturbances to the continue to assess the impacts to the hydrologic system identified in Chapter 18, Probabil Hydrologic Consequences. The results of the monitoring plan have and will continue to be employed to support the PHC conclusions that disturbances to the hydrologic balance will be minimal and that the potential uses of the ground and surface water systems affected by mining will not be changed.

The parameters observed at each monitoring site as well as sampling and monitoring

frequencies are documented in Chapter 16, Hydrological Monitoring Program. Table 1 shows which monitoring sites are utilized to address each of the probable hydrologic consequences discussed in Chapter 18. The following monitoring plan discussions will address how the monitoring data or programs will be used to determine impacts to the hydrologic balance.

Ground Water Monitoring Plan. Wepo and Alluvial Aquifer Quantity and Quality. Not all alluvial and Wepo monitoring wells are projected to be impacted in terms of water levels and/or water quality as a result of mining areas intercepting the Wepo aquifer. Only portions of the N2, N7, JI/N6, J16, J19 and J21 mining areas have been determined to intercept the Wepo aquifer. This determination is based on documented pit inflows in those areas already mined and on comparisons of the Wepo/alluvial aquifer potentiometric surface with bottom of pit contours for those areas remaining to be mined.

From the pit inflow calculations presented in Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences, theoretical drawdowns in the Wepo and alluvial aquifers were determined for Figure 1 in Chapter 18. Because all wells exhibit water level fluctuations owing to climatic changes and water quality sampling stresses, only those wells within the zone of >5 feet of drawdown on Figure 1 are considered wells whose water levels could be potentially affected by mining interception of the Wepo aquifer. All other wells are considered background wells for purposes of water level monitoring. Twenty alluvial wells 纪歌 3R, 27R, 32R, 33R, 87, 88, 89R, 98R, 99R, 101R, 102, 103R, 108R, 165, 168, 169, 170, and 199) and twelve Wepo wells (43R, 44, 46, 49, 53, 54, 57, 62R, 64R, 65, 66 and 68) comprise the wells whose water levels may be affected by pit inflow drawdowns. Several of these wells have pre-disturbance baseline water level data against which future water levels an be compared for impact assessments. In the rest of the cases, current water s are compared against 5 to 10 year historic water level ranges. measurable drops in water levels outside these ranges, will be considered mining impacts. Also, water level drops beyond historic values in wells determined to be unaffected will not be considered suspect unless persistent lowering trends continue. To date only Wepo well 62R, former Wepo well 62 and former alluvial wells 74 and 75 have shown clear evidence of mining induced drawdowns.

The approach to evaluating the Wepo and alluvial monitoring wells for mining induced water ; quality impacts is similar to the water level approach in that the analysis is closely

linked to the wet pits and the Wepo/alluvial potentiometric surface. Where the approach differs is water quality impacts can only occur downgradient (in the direction of decreasing potentiometric head) from the wet pits, and can only occur after the pits have been reclaimed and ground water levels have reestablished so ground water flow through the mining areas can return to what it was prior to mining. Hydraulic characteristics for each aquifer (Chapter 15, Attachments 9 and 14) were evaluated to determine which wells downgradient from the wet pits would have potential water quality impacts. The hydraulic conductivities measured during pumping tests in each aquifer are low with average Wepo values being lower than the average alluvial values. With this information and the water quality data collected through the duration of the monitoring program, alluvial wells within a distance of 3 miles downgradient from wet pits (19, 27R, 32R, 33R, 80R, 82, 83, 88, 89R, 102, 165, 168, 169, 170, 180, 181, 182, 193 and 197) and Wepo wells within a distance of 1.5 miles downgradient of wet pits (40, 43R, 44, 56, 64R, 65, 66, 68, 175, 178 and 179) were determined to be those wells whose chemistry could be potentially affected by mining. Principally, trend analyses will be performed for the major ions measured at these wells. Persistent trends of increasing concentrations of two or more of the major ions, especially SO4, Ca, Mg, Na, TDS and B, will suggest that mining impacts to the water quality are occurring. Also, water type changes or shifts on trilinear diagram plots of the water chemistry for these wells will suggest mining impacts to the water quality.

Navajo Aquifer Quality and Quantity. Water level changes in the Navajo well bore holes on the leasehold are of little direct use in assessing drawdown in the N-aquifer as they are significantly influenced by well efficiency and pumpage rate changes. Regional water level monitoring of the N-aquifer by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in conjunction with periodically revised flow model runs will be utilized to assess the separate impacts from Peabody and Tribal pumpage on N-aquifer water levels. As input to the model runs, Peabody will provide continuous pumpage data for the eight N-aquifer wells located on the

leasehol

from Peabody monitoring data. Significant increases in TDS, chloride and sulfate will suggest of the amounts of induced recharge from the overlying D-aquifer system. The USGS monitoring program will be relied on to measure water quality changes in regional N-aquifer wells. Annual progress reports from the USGS typically compare current chemical concentrations against average values determined over the period of sampling record. Significant increases in parameter levels over the long term averages will be considered to suggest changes resulting from increased leakage of poorer quality D-aquifer water.

· 3%

14.10

Spring Flows and Quality. Spring flows and quality changes on the leasehold will be compared to ranges developed from the five-year baseline monitoring data base. Significant flow or quality deviations from the five-year ranges not explainable by climatic fluctuations will be considered to suggest impacts from mining.

Regional spring flows and water quality will be monitored by the USGS. Significant deviations from average values for the period of record will be considered to suggest impacts from Tribal and Peabody N-aquifer pumpage.

Surface-Water Monitoring Plan.

Streamflows and Stream Water Quality. There are so many factors that influence streamflows and stream water chemistry on the leasehold, that comparisons with five-year averages may not prove meaningful. Instead, trending analyses will be utilized. Consistently decreasing flows or increasing concentration levels will be considered to suggest mining impacts. Regional baseflow monitoring will be performed by the USGS. Consistent reductions in baseflow at Moenkopi, Laguna Creek and Mexican Water will be interpreted as impacts from Tribal and Feabody pumpage, excepting periods of drought.

Channel Characteristics and Sediment Loads. Aerial photo and cross section comparisons at channel reaches along Reed Valley over a period of years will be used to measure changes in replannel characteristics. Because there are so many variables which contribute to the development of channel characteristics, consideration of potential mining impacts will be limited to the immediate proximity of dams and channel diversions. Aggradation and scour all necessarily need to be pronounced to suggest impacts from these engineered structures as the channels are naturally quite unstable.

Stations 15, 16, 18, 35 and 50. Sediment loads will vary widely depending when, during the flow hydrograph, the samples were obtained. Typically the recession portions of the flows have lower sediment loads. The sediment loading must deviate significantly from the established sediment rating curves to suggest possible impacts from mining. Sediment monitoring at the other stream monitoring sites will be of limited use as only the low flows can be waded and sampled at multiple locations. Comparison of sediment loads and concentrations will be attempted for the low flows.

Reclaimed Area Runoff, Water Quality and Sediment Yields. Analyses for potential impacts of reclaimed areas on streamflows and stream water quality have been conducted as part of the small watershed studies, the PII studies and the EASI runoff and sediment yield modeling which has been described in the previous section on Drainage Control and Water Quality Standards. The small watershed data and EASI model runs showed: runoff plot (hillslope) sediment yield data was higher on reclaimed areas; total watershed runoff volumes were comparable between reclaimed and undisturbed areas; and total watershed sediment yields were higher from undisturbed areas. The PII monitoring showed reclaimed area runoff for a range of watershed sizes was good (some water persistence 80 percent of the time in the internal impoundments) and overall runoff water quality was equal to or better than baseflow and runoff in the principal channels on the leasehold.

Literature Cited

VanVoast, W.A. and R.B. Hedges. "Hydrologic Aspects of Strip Coal Mining in Southwestern Montana - Emphasis One Year of Mining Near Decker, Montana." Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 93. 1975.



TABLE 1

Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences

						Allu	Alluvial F	Well Mr	Montforing Sthe	455 50	0					
	13R	11	1.9	23	23R	27R	29		32R	33R	69	7.1	7 27	3 76	6R 77	1
Interruption 8 Largund Water Flow and Drawdowns	1	1	,	1	t	1	1	ı	1	t	1	1	,	1	1	1
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Mining	1	1	1	ı	1	1		1	1	1	ι	1	i	'	t	
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage	1	ı	t	ı	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	1		1	
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	1	1	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	1		,	1	
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	1	ı	×	1	×	×	×	1	×	×	1	1	1	'	1	
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	ı	t	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	1	ı	1	1	
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	r	×	1	×	×		×	×	×	ı	ı	1		. ,	1	
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	1	ı	×	1	×	×	×	ı	×	×	1	ı	1	'	1	
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	1	τ		'		
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	1	ı	t	1	1	, ,	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	1	1	1	ı	ı	i	1	1	ı	1	1	ı		1	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	1	ī	ı	1	1	ı	ı	,	I	1	1	1		1	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	1	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	t	1	,	ı	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	ı	1	t	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1			1	1	
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	t	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	t	ı	ı	1	1		1	I	
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Weter Quality	1	ı	1	1	1	t	1	1	. 1	1	1	1		ı	t	
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1			1	1	
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	t	1	t	1	ι	1	-1	1	1	í	1	1		1	I	
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	. '	1	1		1	ı	1	ı	1	1		1	'	1	1	

. 5

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Sport of the sand Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences

9	i					Alluvial	Well Mc	Monitoring Site	ng Site					
10°7	80R	82	83	87	89	89R	63	94	95	96A	99R	101R	102	103R
Interruption of Bound Water Flow and Drawdowns	1	ı	t	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1
Removal of Local World And Schilles by Mining	ı	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage	1	ı	1	1	1	t	1	ì	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	×
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	1	×	ı	×	×	×	×
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	×	×	×	ı	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	×
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	×	×	×	t	×	×	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	×	×
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	ı	×		×	×	×	×
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	ı	1	ı	1	t	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	I
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfleld Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	l	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	1	ι
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Rater From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	1	t	ı	1	t	t	1	1	ı	1	t	1	1	1
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Changel Characteristics	ı	ı	1	ι	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	t	ı	1	1
Impact of Dams, Sediment Fonds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	ı	1	1	ı	I	1	1	1	1	1	ì	ι	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	ı	ŧ	1	ı	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	t
<pre>Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characterlatics and Runoff Water Quality</pre>	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	1	r	1	1	ı	1
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	1	1	1	1	ı	t	ı	1	1	1	t	1	ı	1
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	1	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	t	1	ı	1	ı	1	t
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	ı	ι	1	1	1	. ,	1	t	ı	1	1	1	ī	1

TABLE & (Cont.)

OF TORBITIONING Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences

34						Allun	rial We	1.1 Mon	Alluvial Well Monitoring	ŭ.							
57	104R	105R	106R	108R	165	168	169	170	172	7.3	180	181 10	182 193	197	199	200	1 1
•	1	ι	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı	1	ı	1		1	1	1	1	
Removal of Local Wells and Shethes by Mining	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1	1	1		1	,	1	ı	ŧ	
	ı	ı	1	•	1	1	t	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	ι	
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	t	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	1	ı	1	t	1	ı	1	1	×	1	1	1		ı ×	1	×	
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quallty on the Alluvial Aquifer	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	*	×	×	×	×	
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	1	1	1	1	1	t	ı	1	1	ı	1	·	'	1	1	
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Weter Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	1	t	1	,	I	1	i	ı	1	1	ı	ı		1	1	1	
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	1	1	1	1	l	t	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı		1	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	1	1	l	t	ı	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1		1	ı	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Fonds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	1	ı	ı	1	t	ī	ı	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	t	
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	1	ı	ı	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	t	1		1	ı	1	
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	I	ı	ı	1	ı	t	ı	1	t	1	ı	1		1	1	ı	
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	ı	t	ı	t	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	t	
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestabilshment of Drainage Systems	t	1	ı	1	1	t	ı	1	1	1	1	ī		1	ì	I	
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

SEP1999 Compitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Chapter 18, Probable

107							He	xo Well	. Monitoring		Site						
	38	40	41	42	43R	44	45	46	47R	49	51	52	53	54	55	56	5.7
Interruption of the party of and Drawdowns	×	×	1	1	×	×	×	×	×	×	ι	1	×	×	×	×	×
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Mining	ı	1	1	1	ι	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	ı
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpaga	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	t	ı	1	1	ı	t	ı	1
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Racharge Capacity	×	×	1	ı	×	×	×	×	×	×	ı	1	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	I	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	ı	t	×	×	×	×	×
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	1
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı	t	-1	1	Ť	ı	1	1	1	t	ı	1
Effects of Changed Webo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	1	×	1	ı	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	1	×	×	×	×	×
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	t	1	ŧ	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	t	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	I	1	ı	ī	ı	ı	1	1	1	ı
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	I	1	ŀ	1	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	i	ı	ı	1	1	1	t
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	1	1	ı	ι	1	1	1	t	1	1	1	1	ı	1	t	1	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Fonds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	i	t	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	1
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	1	1	l	1	ı	1	t	1	1	t	1	1	ı	t	1	1	ı
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	1	ţ	1	1	1	ı	1	1	•	ı	ŧ	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	t	1	I	ı	1	1	1	1
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stabillty of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	1	1	1	ι.	1	1	1	·i	1	t	1	ı	1	1	1	t	1
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ſ	1	ı
(c															Re	Revised	06/28

_
'nt.
Ö
H
Ä
Z

13°

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

donitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences

			leva10	Rell Mo	Nevato Well Monitoring Site	41 FS - 20			
	20	21	22	24	28	30	115	156	
Interrupt and the Mater Flow and Drawdowns	1	1	1	f	ı	1	1	ı	
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Hining	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	ı	ι	
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage	1	ı	t	1	t	1	1	ι	
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	1	ı	1	ı	1	t	ı	t	
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı	
Interception of Wapo Racharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	1	ı	1	ì	ı	ı	ı	ì	
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Effects of Induced Leakags of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
impacts of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	1	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	ı	1	1	1	1	1	ì	1	
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	ı	t	ı	I	1	1	1	1	
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	J	1	t	1	1	ţ	1	t	
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	ı	1	1	1	1	t	1	ı	
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	1	ı	ı	ı	t	1	ı	1	
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	t	

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings

				<i>V</i>)	Stream	Statio	n Moni	Station Monitoring	Site				
	14	15	16	18	25	26	34	35	37	50	78	155	157
Interruption of Ground Water Flow and Drawdowns C.	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ŧ	1
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Mining	ı	ı	t	ı	ı	ı	1	1	ī	ι	ı	1	ı
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage	1	1	ı	1	t	1	t	1	1	1	1	ı	ī
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flot and Recharge Lapacity	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ι	ı	ı	ı
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	1	1	ı	ι	ı	1	1	t	ι	ı	ı	1	1
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	1	. 1	1	t	ı	ı	1	ı	1	i	1	ŧ	t
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	t	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	1
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	1	1	t	ı	ι	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	1
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	ŧ	ı	t	ı	ı
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	1	1	ι	t	1	1	ŧ	1	1	1	1	1	1
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	1	1	ī	1	1	t	ı	t	ı	ı	1	1	ı
Impact of ${\tt Dams}_{\rm r}$ Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	ı	×	1	×	×	×	×	ı	1	ı	ı	×	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channal Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×	×	1	×	×	×	ı	1	×
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	1	1	ı	1	١.	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences
Permanent Internal Impoundment Monitoring Site

	Other	Permanent Internal (PII's)	al Impoundments 9)		Permanent
3/4/3/0//	Internal Impoundments	N2-RA	NZ-RB	N2-RC	(PI's)
Interruption of Ground Water Flow and Drawdowns	1		1	1	
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Mining	ı	l	ı	1	t
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage	I	1	ı	1	1
Impact of Replaced Spoll Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	1	1	1	1	I
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	1	ı	1	t	1
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	t	1	ı	1	1
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	1	t	1	1	1
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	ı	1	Î	t	r
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	1	1	ι	ı	ı
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	ı	1	ı	ı	1
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Mater Quality	ı	1	1	I	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Streem Water Quality	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	ı	1	t	ì	I
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	t	ı	ī	ı	ı
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	×	×	×	×	×
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	1	ı	ţ	1	
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	×	×	×	×	×

17

Revised 06/28/99

3

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantiate Significance Findings

Monitoring Sites

Local Spoil Wei

2	Sprin	g Mon	Spring Monitoring	g Sites	s Local	Spoil	l Well		Monitoring	Sites	ćn	
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	75	92	111	140 1	147 Springs	207 208	209	210	211	176	177	All Future Ones
Intexruption of Ground Water Flow and Drawounns	1	1	1	t	1	t	1	ı	,	1	1	1
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Mining	×	×	×	×	×	1	1	I	ı	ı	t	0
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pumpage 45/4/1911	1	1	1	1	1	1	t	1	1	1	ı	1
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Water Flow and Recharge Capacity	1	1	1	1	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Impact of Replaced Spoil on Ground Water Quality	1	ı	1	1	1	1	×	r	1	×	×	×
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	ı	1	1	1	1	1	I	ı	1	1	t	: 1
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	ŧ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	ī	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	1	ı	1	1
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	×	×	×	×	*	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Water Levels and Stream and Spring Flow	i	1	1	1	1	1	ı	t	ŧ	1	1	t
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer Bystem on the N-aquifer Water Quality	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	t	t		ī	1	ſ
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteriatics	i	ı	ı	1	1	'	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	1	1	1	۱ ×	1	1	1	1		1	1
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	1	ı	1	1	ı ×	1	1	ı	t	1	1	I
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	ı	t	t		t I	1	1	1	1	I	ı	ı
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	1	1	ı	1	t 1	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	1
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	ι.	1	1	1	• 1	1	1	1	1	, 1	t	1
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	ı

ذ

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Monitoring Sites and Programs Utilized to Substantlate Significance Findings of Chapter 18, Probable Hydrologic Consequences

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Local Well Inventory	NPDES Ponds	Reglonal USGS N-Aquifer, Stream & Spring Monitoring	USGS 2-D Flow Model	Monitoring of Channel Characteristics	Small Watershed Studies
Interruption of Ground Water Flow and Draggowns SEP 1999	ı	t	ı	1	1	
Removal of Local Wells and Springs by Minaug ACDENNER CO	×	1	t	ı	1	ı
Containment and Discharge of Pit Inflow Pinneage	1	×	1	ι	ı	,
Impact of Replaced Spoil Material on Ground Walter Flow and Recharge Capacity	ı	ı	1	I	1	ı
Impact of Replaced Spoll on Ground Water Quality (4/9/5/10/1)	1	1	1	1	t	1
Interception of Wepo Recharge to the Alluvial Aquifer	1		ı	1	t	ı
Truncation of Alluvial Aquifers by Dams	ı	1	t	1	r	ı
Effects of Changed Wepo Aquifer Recharge Water Quality on the Alluvial Aquifer	ı	ι	t	ı	1	t
Mining Interruption of Spring Flow	×	ı	1	ı	,	1
Impact of Peabody Navajo Wellfield Pumpage on Regional Mater Levels and Stream and Spring Flow		1	×	×	ı	1
Effects of Induced Leakage of Poorer Quality Water From the Overlying D-aquifer System on the N-aquifer Water Quality	ı	1	×	1	1	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Fonds and Impoundments on Runoff and Channel Characteristics	t	ı	t	1	×	1
Impact of Dams, Sediment Ponds and Impoundments on Downstream Users	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Impact of Dams, Sediment Fonds and Impoundments on Stream Water Quality	1	×	1	ı	t	1
Impact of Stream Channel Diversions on Channel Characteristics and Runoff Water Quality	1	t	1	ı	×	ţ
Effects of Culverts at Road Crossings on Stream Runoff and Water Quality	ı	ı	r	ı	ı	ı
Effects of Runoff From Reclaimed Areas on the Quantity and Quality of Streamflow	ı	ı	1	1	1	×
The Impact of the Reclamation Plan on the Stability of Reclaimed Areas and the Reestablishment of Drainage Systems	ı	1	t	1	r	×
Removal of Pre-existing Surface Water Structures	ı	1	1	í	t	ı