INSPECTION REPORT

Sedimentation Structure

J16-F

Kayenta Mine

Navajo County, Arizona

for

PEABODY COAL COMPANY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	. 1
INSPECTION	. 1
SITE DESCRIPTION	. 2
LAND USE	. 2
EMBANKMENT	. 2
ANALYSES	. 3
STABILITY	. 3
HYDROLOGY	. 3
HYDRAULICS	. 4
Spillway Channel	. 6
Outflow Channel	6
STORAGE CAPACITY	6
REMEDIAL COMPLIANCE PLAN	7
GEOTECHNICS	7
HYDRAULICS	8
APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECK LIST	
APPENDIX B - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	

INTRODUCTION

Sedimentation Structure J16-F is an earthen embankment, designed and constructed in 1982 by Peabody Coal Company as a temporary sedimentation structure to control runoff and sediment from the disturbed mining areas of the Kayenta Mine. The location of Structure J16-F is shown on Plate 1, Site Plan.

This inspection report contains information specific to Structure J16-F. Regional site information is presented in the "General Report, Kayenta and Black Mesa Mines, Navajo County, Arizona for Peabody Coal Company," along with the methods and results of analyses used for slope stability, hydrology and hydraulics.

INSPECTION

Structure J16-F was inspected on September 10, 1985 by an interdisciplinary team of engineers from Dames & Moore. The purpose of the inspection was to assess the safety and general condition of the structure with respect to United States Department of Interior, Office of Surface Mining (OSM) regulations.

Dames & Moore's inspection was performed in accordance with applicable 30 CFR 780 and 816 regulations and included a review of the J16-F project files and a field inspection of the structure. The most current information contained in the Peabody Coal Company files includes the 1984 and current survey data and inspections performed in 1984 and 1985 by

Peabody Coal Company. The survey data developed in August 1984 was used in the analyses of the structure. Results of the field inspection are included in this report as Appendix A.

SITE DESCRIPTION

LAND USE

Structure J16-F has a 19.2-acre tributary drainage area and is located near Moenkopi Wash at the Kayenta Mine. The watershed is classified as 60% disturbed and 40% Sagebrush.

EMBANKMENT

Structure J16-F is a homogeneous earthen embankment classified as a sidehill embankment. Physical characteristics of the embankment are listed in the following table:

Structure J16-F

A cross-section of the embankment is shown on Plate 2, Existing Maximum Cross Section J16-F, A-A'. Grass provides erosion protection on the downstream slope of the embankment.

ANALYSES

STABILITY

Structure J16-F is a category B-1 embankment. A standard category B-1 embankment has static and seismic factors of safety equal to or greater than 1.5 and 1.2, respectively, under the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum height = 20 ft
- 2. Maximum upstream slope = 2.0 H : 1 V
- 3. Maximum downstream slope = 2.5 H : 1 V
- 4. Normal pool with steady seepage saturation conditions

The J16-F embankment is lower in height and has flatter slopes than the category standard; therefore, the embankment has factors of safety greater than the design minimum.

HYDROLOGY

The hydrologic analysis was completed using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers generalized computer program HEC-1, Flood Hydrograph Package. Structure J16-F is not in series with any other structure and therefore the spillway was analyzed using the 25-year, 6-hour storm. The storage capacity of Structure J16-F was analyzed using the 10-year, 24-hour storm.

The following parameters were used in the hydrologic analysis:

HYDRAULICS

The HEC-1 program was used to evaluate inflow to the sedimentation structure, outflow from the structure and the resulting water surface elevations. The initial conditions and results of the analysis are summarized in the following table.

J16-F HYDRAULICS

Units	10-year 24-hour Storm	25-year 6-hour Storm
Initial Reservoir Volume Condition	Empty	Full to the spillway elevation
Inflow Peak Flow cfs Volume acre-ft	51 2.04	64 1.71
Storage Peak Stage ft Spillway Elevation ft Peak Storage acre-ft Storage Capacity acre-ft	6582.41 6591.41 2.04 9.34	
Outflow Peak Flow cfs Embankment Crest	0	8
Elevation ft Peak Stage ft Freeboard ft	 	6594.50 6592.92 1.58
Spillway Channel Flow Depth ft Critical Velocity fps Manning's "n"	 -	1.02 2.3 0.040
Outflow Channel Slope	 	33 4.3 0.09 0.040

Spillway Channel

The existing spillway for J16-F has a trapezoidal channel with the following dimensions:

Channe1	depth	•						٠	3.8	ft
Channel										
Channel										
Side slo										
										percent

There is presently no erosion protection within the channel.

Outflow Channel

The existing outflow channel for J16-F has a trapezoidal channel with the following dimensions:

Rock provides no erosion protection within the channel.

STORAGE CAPACITY

The impoundment volume-elevation curve is based on site specific surveys conducted for Peabody Coal Company's August 1984 inspection, and 1985 resurveys, where available. Additionally, the most current topographic maps available were used in developing Plate 3, Volume-Elevation Curve, J16-F.

The calculations for the sediment load entering Structure J16-F were made utilizing the Universal Soil Loss Equation with the following parameters:

The hydrologic analysis gives the storage volume required to contain the 10-year, 24-hour storm, and the remaining storage volume available for storing sediment. The existing storage capacity of J16-F and the results of the sediment inflow analysis are summarized in the following table.

J16-F STORAGE

Total Storage Capacity		. 9.34	acre-ft
10-year, 24-hour Storm Inflow	•	. 2.04	acre-ft
Available Sediment Storage Capacity		. 7.3	acre-ft
Sediment Inflow Rate	•	. 0.167	acre-ft/yr
Sediment Storage Life		. 44	yrs

REMEDIAL COMPLIANCE PLAN

GEOTECHNICS

The inspection of Structure J16-F indicated that the only geotechnical problem is rill and gully erosion on the upstream and downstream slopes, the side slopes of the spillway channel and the left abutment. Correction of erosion is considered a periodic maintenance task and does not require remedial action. The crest and upstream slope of the

embankment are uneven and should be trimmed level and smooth, respectively, to prevent masking of future potential problems.

HYDRAULICS

The storage capacity and spillway capacity of Structure J16-F are adequate. The outflow channel is protected with riprap but the spillway channel is not. The spillway channel should be protected against erosion using geotextile and riprap as shown in Plate 5. Plate 4 shows the existing spillway and outflow channel profile and Plate 5 shows the channel dimensions.

* * *

The following plates and appendix are attached and complete this inspection report.

Plate 1 - Site Plan J16-F

Plate 2 - Existing Maximum Cross Section J16-F, A-A'


Plate 3 - Volume-Elevation Curve J16-F


Plate 4 - Channel Profile J16-F, B-B'

Plate 5 - Spillway and Outflow Channel Cross Section J16-F

Appendix A - Inspection Check List

Appendix B - Hydrology and Hydraulic Calculations








EXISTING
MAXIMUM CROSS-SECTION
A-A'
J16-F


FOR LOCATION SEE PLATE 1

BY Dames & Moore


Plate



VOLUME-ELEVATION CURVE J16-F



9 SCALE 0 100 200 FEET CHANNEL PROFILE B-B' J16-F



SPILLWAY CHANNEL

D = 2.1'

LENGTH = 30'

FLOWLINE ELEV. = 6591.90'

OUTFLOW CHANNEL

D = 1'

SPILLWAY AND OUTFLOW CHANNEL CROSS SECTION J16-F

BY Dames & Moore

Plate 5

APPENDIX A INSPECTION CHECK LIST

Sediment Impoundment Name: 516-F
Page: 4

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

	Vec	320	REMARKS
ITEM	YES	MU	REMARAS
1 COPEN			Unever crest/not trium
1. CREST			14' W
a New releval cathlesenter		1	14 ~
a. Any visual settlements?	├	X	
b. Misalignment?	-	X	
c. Cracking?	-		
			uneven (not triumed
2. UPSTREAM SLOPE			1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
a lidamenta annas asser?		X	26
a. Adequate grass cover?			gulars 1 mils
b. Any erosion?		~	- July 1 Mis
c. Are trees growing on slope? d. Longitudinal cracks?			<u></u>
		8	
e. Transverse cracks?	+	×	
f. Adequate riprap protection? q. Any stone deterioration?		/	NA
h. Visual depressions or bulges?	+		<u> </u>
i. Visual depressions of burges?			
i. Visual sectionerics?	-	V	
j. Milliot Durlows:	-	/	
3. DOWNSTREAM SLOPE			190
3. DOMNSTREAM SLOPE			•
a. Adequate grass cover?	V		
b. Any erosion?	 		Oills -
c. Are trees growing on slope?		\sim	
d. Longitudinal cracks?	 		
e. Transverse cracks?	-	$\hat{\mathcal{C}}$	
f. Visual depressions or bulges?	-	>	
q. Visual settlements?		\Diamond	
h. Is the toe drain dry?		\sim	NA
i. Are the relief wells flowing?			NA
j. Are boils present at the toe?	 	×	
k. Is seepage present?		ट्रि	
1. Animal burrows?		X	
4: INIAMA SPECTION		<u></u>	
4. ABUTMENT CONTACT. RIGHT			
AT CENA STREET AND COMMON TO A			
a. Any erosion?		X	
b. Visual differential movement?	 	Ż	
c. Any cracks noted?			
d. Is seepage present?		Ŷ	
e. Type of Material?			Gray/black SM /rock
T. 1700 02 12000000		\vdash	
5. ABUTMENT CONTACT. LEFT			•
or implimite community and a			
a. Any erosion?	V		Rolls into sod way
b. Visual differential movement?	 ^-	X	Total lower live con-
c. Any cracks noted?		x	
d. Is seepage present?	-		
e. Type of Material?	 	X	DODWA SIM
e. Type of material?	Ь	ш	THOMA 11

Sediment Impoundment Name: 516-F

ITEM	YES	NO	REMARKS
6. SPILLWAY/NORMAL			
a. Location:			
Left abutment?	10		
Right abutment?	+^-		
Crest of Embankments?	+		
b. Approach Channel:		X	
Are side slopes eroding?	+		
Are side slopes sloughing?	_		,
Bottom of channel eroding?	+		│ NA ─────
Obstructed?	+		
Erosian protection?	_		
c. Spillway Channel:	$\forall \mathbf{x}$		22' W 22' L 0% Sope 3.8 below
Are side slopes eroding?	X		Rills from LA
Are side slopes sloughing?	1	X	
Bottom of channel eroding?	Ť	×	
Obstructed?		X	
Erosian protection?		X	
d. Outflow Channel:	X	-	6° Slope 21'W L= 15'
Are side slopes eroding?	+->	X	
Are side slopes sloughing?	1 .	$\overline{\times}$	
Bottom of channel eroding?		X	
Obstructed?		×	
Erosian protection?			050-15"
e. Weir:		\times	
Condition?			/
			- · /
7. SPILLWAY/EMERGENCY			1.4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			l NA /
a. Location:			/
Left abutment?			
Right abutment?			
Crest of Embankments?			/
b. Approach Channel:			
Are side slopes eroding?	+		
Are side slopes sloughing?			
Bottom of channel eroding?			
Obstructed?			/
Erosion protection?			7
c. Spillway Channel:			
Are side slopes eroding?			7
Are side slopes sloughing?			/
Bottom of channel eroding?			
			/
Obstructed?			
Obstructed? Erosion protection?		- 1	
Erosion protection?			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel:			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel: Are side slopes eroding?			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel: Are side slopes eroding? Are side slopes sloughing?			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel: Are side slopes eroding? Are side slopes sloughing? Bottom of channel eroding?			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel: Are side slopes eroding? Are side slopes sloughing? Bottom of channel eroding? Obstructed?			
Erosion protection? d. Outflow Channel: Are side slopes eroding? Are side slopes sloughing? Bottom of channel eroding?			

Sediment Impoundment Name: 116-F
Page: 6

ITEM	YES	NO	REMARKS	
8. IMPOUNDMENT				
a. Sinkholes?			(Elev.)	feet
b. Water present?		区	(Elev.)	feet
c. Siltation?	×			
d. Watershed matches soil map?		X		
9. GENERAL COMMENTS				

Canopy 5% From 2 15%

APPENDIX B HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

REVISIONS
BY ______ DATE _____ TO E0 ____
BY _____ DATE _____ TO E0 ____

TIME OF CONCENTRATION

ELEVATION DIFFERENCE = 1000 - 672 - 98 + t.

WATER (CLIEST LEADLATH = 2.5 in = 1000 ft. = 0.189 mi.) $1c = \left(\frac{11.9 + (0.189)^3}{18}\right) = 0.065 \text{ hr.}$

LAC TIME = 0.6 To = 0.039 hr.

SCS CUEUF NUMBER

DRAINAGE POUR HYDROLOGIC SOIL MEIGHTED

AREA (ac) TYPE CONDITION TYPE CURVE NUMBER

7.6 S-G POOP D 85(40)

11.6 disturbed ____ D 94 (60)

100% EN #72

use 91

BY 5, A-L-1/W DATE 10-2-85 CHECKED BY BHM 10/24/85

DRAINAGE BASIN AREA

19.2 ACRE 0.030 SO MILE

UNIVERSAL Soil Loss EQUATION

RAINFALL FACTOR

R= 40

SOIL ERODIBILITY FACTOR

SOIL TYPE = 100% EH #22 = , 22

K= ,22

SLOPE FACTOR

LENGTH (fl.)
$$\Delta$$
 FLEV (fl.) SLOPE (%) LS

1.07 (.7)

300 60 20% 7.07 (.3)

= 2.87

COVER FACTOR

EROSION CONTROL FACTOR

SEDIMENT INFLOW

A = 40 (,22)(2,87)(,744)(1.0) = 18,79 ton |acre | year L

Dames & Moore